

# String Fragmentation Model in Space Radiation Problems

Alfred Tang and John W. Norbury University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, Wisconsin

R. K. Tripathi Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia

#### The NASA STI Program Office . . . in Profile

Since its founding, NASA has been dedicated to the advancement of aeronautics and space science. The NASA Scientific and Technical Information (STI) Program Office plays a key part in helping NASA maintain this important role.

The NASA STI Program Office is operated by Langley Research Center, the lead center for NASA's scientific and technical information. The NASA STI Program Office provides access to the NASA STI Database, the largest collection of aeronautical and space science STI in the world. The Program Office is also NASA's institutional mechanism for disseminating the results of its research and development activities. These results are published by NASA in the NASA STI Report Series, which includes the following report types:

- TECHNICAL PUBLICATION. Reports of completed research or a major significant phase of research that present the results of NASA programs and include extensive data or theoretical analysis. Includes compilations of significant scientific and technical data and information deemed to be of continuing reference value. NASA counterpart of peer-reviewed formal professional papers, but having less stringent limitations on manuscript length and extent of graphic presentations.
- TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM.
   Scientific and technical findings that are preliminary or of specialized interest, e.g., quick release reports, working papers, and bibliographies that contain minimal annotation. Does not contain extensive analysis.
- CONTRACTOR REPORT. Scientific and technical findings by NASA-sponsored contractors and grantees.

- CONFERENCE PUBLICATION.
   Collected papers from scientific and technical conferences, symposia, seminars, or other meetings sponsored or co-sponsored by NASA.
- SPECIAL PUBLICATION. Scientific, technical, or historical information from NASA programs, projects, and missions, often concerned with subjects having substantial public interest.

TECHNICAL TRANSLATION. Englishlanguage translations of foreign scientific and technical material pertinent to NASA's mission.

Specialized services that complement the STI Program Office's diverse offerings include creating custom thesauri, building customized databases, organizing and publishing research results . . . even providing videos.

For more information about the NASA STI Program Office, see the following:

- Access the NASA STI Program Home Page at http://www.sti.nasa.gov
- Email your question via the Internet to help@sti.nasa.gov
- Fax your question to the NASA STI Help Desk at (301) 621-0134
- Telephone the NASA STI Help Desk at (301) 621-0390
- Write to:
   NASA STI Help Desk
   NASA Center for AeroSpace Information
   7121 Standard Drive
   Hanover, MD 21076-1320

# NASA/TP-2002-211933



# String Fragmentation Model in Space Radiation Problems

Alfred Tang and John W. Norbury University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, Wisconsin

R. K. Tripathi Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia 23681-2199

# Acknowledgments

Available from:
This work was supported by NASA grants NCC-1-354 and NGT-1-52217. John W. Norbury gratefully acknowledges the hospitality of the Physics Department at La Trobe University, Bundoora, Australia, during the summer months. This paper is dedicated to the memory of Eloise Johnson, a superb technical editor who will be missed by her colleagues and researchers alike. She went to her eternal abode during the process of publishing this paper.

# Nomenclature

Natural units used in this work are such that  $\hbar = c = 1$ .

```
speed of light
c
             center of mass
cm
             spectral distribution cross section
E
             total energy of particle
\frac{Ed^3\sigma}{dp^3}
             Lorentz invariant differential cross section
f(y)
             transitional probability from one vertex to next with rapidity y
             Planck constant divided by 2\pi
\hbar
H(\Gamma)
             probability distribution of vertex occurring at \Gamma
m
             one-dimensional momentum
p
             maximum momentum of produced particles
p_{max}
             plus or minus light-cone momentum, E \pm p
p_{\pm}
             Mandelstam variable representing total energy square in cm frame,
s
             (p_1 + p_2)^2 or \sqrt{s} = E_{1cm} + E_{2cm} = E_{cm}
t,\tau
V_n
             nth spacetime position or "vertex" of breakup point along string,
             \kappa(x_+,x_-)
             kinetic energy of quark or antiquark along \pm light-cone coordinate
W_{+}
             coordinate of position or fragmentation variable, \frac{p_z}{p_{max}}
\boldsymbol{x}
             plus or minus light-cone configuration, t \pm x
x_{\pm}
             rapidity, \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{E+p}{E-p}
y
             Lorentz factor, \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2}}
\gamma
             proper time, \kappa x_+^{\nu_1-\nu} = \kappa(t^2 - x^2) = \kappa \tau^2
Γ
             string constant
\kappa
```

#### Abstract

String fragmentation models such as the Lund Model fit experimental particle production cross sections very well in the high-energy limit. This paper gives an introduction of the massless relativistic string in the Lund Model and shows how it can be modified with a simple assumption to produce formulas for meson production cross sections for space radiation research. The results of the string model are compared with inclusive pion production data from proton-proton collision experiments.

#### 1. Introduction

The Lund model is a (1+1) massless relativistic string fragmentation model which is modified with a simple assumption in this paper to produce formulas for meson particle production cross sections for HZETRN. The idea of modelling hadronic systems with strings went back to the 1960s (ref. 1). The general skepticism on the extra dimensions predicted by string theory and the advent of QCD in the 1970s put string theory out of commission for the next decade. Interests in string theory rekindled in the 1980s when Green and Shwarz showed that string theory is anomaly free and probably finite to all orders in perturbation theory. Today many advances in string theory have been made on the theoretical front. Despite all the excitement string theory has generated in the high-energy theory community, no evidence exists that string theory will make any lowenergy limit prediction to be tested by experiments in the near future. Supersymmetry and superstring may be testable by experiments in the TeV scale but perhaps not directly relevant to the focus of space radiation research in the GeV scale. The interest lies mostly in the low-energy limit of a nonsupersymmetric string theory, with the possible exception of understanding the Greisen-Zatsepin-Kuzmin (GZK) cutoff in the ultrahighenergy cosmic ray spectrum using TeV strings (ref. 2).

String fragmentation has been a work horse in analyzing high-energy particle production. Isgur proposed a fluxtube model in which the color force field is thought as a string-like object (refs. 3 and 4). B. Andersson implemented the idea of string fragmentation formally in the Lund Model (refs. 5, 6, and 7), which is implemented in the JETSET and PYTHIA Monte-Carlo programs (ref. 8). If a Monte-Carlo cross-section program is put into a target code, it will not run in a short time. Therefore we need simple parameterizations of cross-section formulas, which is the aim of the present work. In this paper, we first review the original Lund Model and expand some of the derivations of reference 5. Later we show how to modify the Lund Model by inserting a simple assumption to generate formulas for meson production cross sections.

Appendix A defines the basic kinematic notations. Appendix B explains the basic concepts of the Lund Model and derives the invariant amplitude formulas. The formulas

in the appendixes are taken from reference 5, chapters 6 to 8. This work merely serves to focus on a subsection of reference 5 that is relevant to our discussion and expands the derivations. Section 2 of this paper explains a new idea on how to use a simple ansatz to obtain formulas for production cross sections in the Lund Model.

## 2. Cross-Section Formulas

The basic result of the Lund Model is the "Area Law" which is summarized as (ref. 5)

$$dP_{ext} = ds \frac{dz}{z} (1-z)^a e^{-b\Gamma}$$
(1)

$$dP_{int} = \prod_{j=1}^{n} N d^{2} p_{0j} \, \delta^{+}(p_{0j}^{2} - m^{2}) \, \delta\left(p_{rest} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{0j}\right) e^{-bA_{rest}}$$
 (2)

and derived in appendix B. The symbols  $\Gamma$  and  $A_{rest}$  are Lorentz invariant kinematic variables. The variable  $\Gamma$  defines the surface of constant proper time along which the string is broken. Traditionally the Lund Model results in equations (1) and (2) are incorporated into Monte Carlo simulation programs such as JETSET and PYTHIA to compute cross sections. Since the goal of the HZETRN program is to derive simple formulas for the cross sections, numerical calculations are not wanted. In this section, some simple assumptions are made to derive analytical results.

Equation (2) resembles the quantum mechanical result

$$d\sigma = d\Omega(s; p_{01}, \dots, p_{0n}) |\mathcal{M}|^2$$
(3)

and (ref. 9)

$$d\sigma = \frac{(2\pi)^4}{4\sqrt{(p_1 \cdot p_2)^2 - m_1^2 m_2^2}} \delta^4 \left( p_1 + p_2 - \sum_{i=3}^{n+2} p_i \right) \prod_{i=3}^{n+2} \frac{d^3 p_i}{(2\pi)^3 2E_i} |\mathcal{M}|^2$$
 (4)

By analogy, it is assumed that (ref. 5)

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = e^{-bA_{rest}} \tag{5}$$

In principle,  $A_{total} = \Gamma + A_{rest}$  can also be used in equation (5) in place of  $A_{rest}$ . The only difference is a proportionality constant  $\exp(-b\Gamma)$  in the invariant amplitude  $|\mathcal{M}|^2$ . Hence the assumption in equation (5) is the simplest. The string breaks up along a surface of constant proper time  $\Gamma$  which is determined by the distribution (ref. 5)

$$H(\Gamma) = C \,\Gamma^a \, e^{-b\Gamma}. \tag{6}$$

By setting  $dH/d\Gamma = 0$ , we can easily show that the maximum of  $H(\Gamma)$  is (ref. 5)

$$\Gamma_{max} = \frac{a}{b} \tag{7}$$

The mean proper time is (ref. 5)

$$<\Gamma> = \frac{\int_0^\infty \Gamma H(\Gamma) d\Gamma}{\int_0^\infty H(\Gamma) d\Gamma} = \frac{a+1}{b}$$
 (8)

The fact that a and b are constants in  $\Gamma$  as shown in appendix B does not exclude the possibility that they are functions of rapidity. Since string fragmentation is a stochastic process that occurs over a surface of constant proper time on the average,  $\langle \Gamma \rangle$  is expected to be an absolute constant; this means that

$$a = a_0 f(y) - 1 \tag{9}$$

$$b = b_0 f(y) \tag{10}$$

for some function of rapidity f(y). The goal of a consistent string theory is to calculate  $a_0$ ,  $b_0$ , and f(y) analytically. In this paper, the simple assumption is that

$$f(y) = \frac{2m_T \sinh y}{\sqrt{s'}} = \frac{2p_z}{\sqrt{s'}} \approx x, \tag{11}$$

where  $m_T = \sqrt{m^2 + p_T^2}$ . The kinematic identities of equation (11) are taken from reference 9. The ansatz f(y) = x is motivated by a posteriori considerations when fitting experimental data. In NASA space radiation research, cosmic particles are highly energetic with production mostly forward. In the center-of-mass frame, the longitudinal momentum is  $p_z$  and the total energy of the colliding particles is  $\sqrt{s'}$ . According to the Fermi Golden Rule,

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx} \sim |\mathcal{M}|^2 \tag{12}$$

Together with equations (10) and (11), the differential cross section is found to be

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx} = A e^{-Bx} \tag{13}$$

for some constants A and B. This prediction is consistent with experimental data from references 10 and 11 as shown in figures 1 and 2.

The spectral distribution can be obtained from the cross-section formula in reference 12

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE} = 2\pi p \int_0^{\theta_{max}} d\theta \, E \frac{d^3\sigma}{dp^3} \sin\theta \tag{14}$$

By using approximations  $p_z \approx E$  and  $x \sim p_z/\sqrt{s}$  in the high-energy limit and equation (12) in conjunction with equation (14), the spectral distribution is found to be

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE} \sim 2\pi (1 - \cos \theta_{max}) p_z |\mathcal{M}|^2$$

$$= c E e^{-kE}$$
(15)

where c and k are constants. Unfortunately no experimental data exist for  $d\sigma/dE$  for pion production in proton-proton scattering. Blattnig et al. produced a parameterized spectral distribution by integrating  $E d^3\sigma/dp^3$  (ref. 12).

#### 3. Results

The Monte-Carlo programs JETSET and PYTHIA cannot be used in HZETRN due to excessive running time. Parametrizations of cross-section formulas are needed. The new idea is to make simple assumptions within the Lund Model framework to obtain analytic meson production cross-section formulas. Our purpose was not to produce any parameterization. To this end, all the fits in this work have parameters that are simply handpicked for the sake of illustrating the correctness of the qualitative aspects of cross sections predicted by string theory. Figures 1 and 2 clearly demonstrate the success of the fits. A major assumption in this paper is that a and b in equation (6) are not constants as proposed in the original Lund Model but are functions of rapidity. This assumption allows us to obtain the correct qualitative features of the cross sections without resorting to a Monte-Carlo simulation. At the present, the functions a and b are adjusted to fit the data.

The exact forms of the parameters a and b (eqs. (9) and (10)) for various types of production are calculated from nonsupersymmetric string theory, such as the QCD string model (ref. 13). The concept of confinement by a string is quantified in terms of the minimal area law of the Wilson loop. QCD string models also include the gluonic degrees of freedom. The constants A and B in equation (13) and the constants c and d in equation (15) are also calculated from string theory. The d Lund Model cannot give angular dependence which is important for low d Future work in string phenomenology hopefully can include the angular dependence of the cross sections near the threshold by extending the model to d 1 or higher dimensions. Production formulas will also be extracted from JETSET and PYTHIA to be used in HZETRN.

# Appendix A

### **Kinematics**

The quark-antiquark pair of a meson is massless in the string model. In this case, the concept of the mass of a meson is associated with the mass of the string field and not the quarks. Massless quarks move at the speed of light. This result is not surprising because string theory predicts that the ends of open strings move at the speed of light either by imposing a Neumann boundary condition for open strings (ref. 14) or by solving the classical equations of motion (ref. 15). In the highly relativistic problems, the light-cone coordinates are the natural choice. In the (1+1) case, Lorentz transformations can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} E' \\ p' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & -\gamma v \\ -\gamma v & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E \\ p \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \cosh y & -\sinh y \\ -\sinh y & \cosh y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E \\ p \end{pmatrix}$$
(16)

$$\begin{pmatrix} t' \\ x' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & -\gamma v \\ -\gamma v & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t \\ x \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \cosh y & -\sinh y \\ -\sinh y & \cosh y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t \\ x \end{pmatrix}$$
(17)

where  $\gamma$  is the Lorentz factor

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}}\tag{18}$$

These equations imply

$$\gamma = \cosh y_p \tag{19}$$

$$\gamma v = \sinh y_p \tag{20}$$

$$v = \tanh y_p \tag{21}$$

The energy and momentum of a particle can now be written as

$$E = \gamma m = m \cosh y_p \tag{22}$$

$$p = \gamma m v = m \sinh y_n \tag{23}$$

The boosted energy  $E_b$  and the boosted one-momentum  $p_b$  are given as

$$E_b = \gamma (E - v p)$$

$$= m (\cosh y_p \cosh y - \sinh y_p \sinh y)$$

$$= m \cosh(y_p - y)$$

$$p_b = \gamma (p - v E)$$

$$= m (\sinh y_p \cosh y - \cosh y_p \sinh y)$$

$$= m \sinh(y_p - y)$$
(24)

The relativistic velocity addition formula is simple in light-cone coordinates (ref. 5) with

$$v' = \tanh y' = \frac{v - v_b}{1 - v_b v} = \tanh(y - y_b)$$
 (26)

illustrating the additivity of rapidity,

$$y = y' + y_b \tag{27}$$

This simplication motivates the definition of rapidity

$$y_p = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{1+v}{1-v} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{E+p}{E-p} \right)$$
 (28)

Momenta along the light-cone can be defined as (ref. 5)

$$p_{+} = E + p = m \cosh y_p + m \sinh y_p = m e^{y_p}$$

$$\tag{29}$$

$$p_{-} = E - p = m \cosh y_p - m \sinh y_p = m e^{-y_p}$$
 (30)

With these definitions, boosts are simplified along the light cone (ref. 5),

$$p'_{+} = m e^{\pm (y_p - y)} = p_{\pm} e^{\mp y} \tag{31}$$

Light cone coordinates can also be defined in configuration space as

$$t \equiv \frac{m}{\kappa} \cosh y_q \tag{32}$$

$$x \equiv \frac{m}{\kappa} \sinh y_q \tag{33}$$

where  $\kappa$  is the string constant and is used here to give t and x the correct dimension. A new subscript is adopted for  $y_q$  in configuration space to distinguish  $y_p$  in momentum space. These definitions are consistent with the requirement that  $v = dx/dt = \tanh y_q$ , as in equation (21). Similar results are obtained as in the momentum space case,

$$x_{+} = t + x = \frac{m}{\kappa} \cosh y_{q} + \frac{m}{\kappa} \sinh y_{q} = \frac{m}{\kappa} e^{y_{q}}$$
(34)

$$x_{-} = t - x = \frac{m}{\kappa} \cosh y_q - \frac{m}{\kappa} \sinh y_q = \frac{m}{\kappa} e^{-y_q}$$
(35)

$$x'_{\pm} = \frac{m}{\kappa} e^{\pm (y_q - y)} = x_{\pm} e^{\mp y}$$
 (36)

# Appendix B

### Lund Model

The focus of this paper is primarily mesons. The force field between a quark-antiquark pair is presumed to be constant and confined to a flux-tube. This appendix is based on the work of reference 5, chapters 6 to 8. The equation of motion of any one member of the quark-antiquark pair acted upon by a constant force is (ref. 5)

$$F = \frac{dp}{dt} = -\kappa \tag{37}$$

where  $\kappa$  is the string constant. The solution of the equation is

$$p(t) = p_0 - \kappa t = \kappa(t_0 - t) \tag{38}$$

From  $E^2 = p^2 + m^2$ , E dE = p dp or

$$\frac{p}{E} = \frac{dE}{dp} \tag{39}$$

is obtained. There is also

$$p = \gamma mv = \gamma m \frac{dx}{dt} = E \frac{dx}{dt} \tag{40}$$

Equations (39) and (40) together yield

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{p}{E} = \frac{dE}{dp} \tag{41}$$

such that

$$\frac{dE}{dx} = \frac{dE}{dp}\frac{dp}{dt}\frac{dt}{dx} = \frac{dp}{dt} = -\kappa \tag{42}$$

Its solution gives the expected QCD flux tube result

$$E = \kappa(x_0 - x) \tag{43}$$

Combining equations (38) and (43) and m=0, the relativistic equation of state is

$$m^{2} = E^{2} - p^{2} = \kappa [(x_{0} - x)^{2} - (t_{0} - t)^{2}] = 0$$
(44)

Let  $x_0 = t_0 = 0$ , the equation of motion |x| = t is obtained. The maximum kinetic energy at x = 0 puts an upper bound on the displacement of the particle such that  $\kappa x_{max} = W$ . The path of the particle is illustrated in figure 3. The zig-zag motion of the particle is the reason for the name "yoyo state." The quark-antiquark pair of a meson are assumed to be massless. The mass square of the meson is proportional to the area of the rectangle

defined by the trajectories of the quark-antiquark pair. The period  $\tau$  of the yoyo motion is

$$\tau = \frac{2E_0}{\kappa} \tag{45}$$

where  $E_0$  is the maximum kinetic energy of each quark. In a boosted Lorentz frame, the period is transformed as

$$\tau' = \tau \cosh y \tag{46}$$

The breakup of a string occurs along a curve of constant proper time such that the process is Lorentz invariant. The Lund model assumes that the produced mesons are ranked, meaning that the production of the nth rank meson depends on the existence of rank n-1, n-2, ..., 1 mesons. A vertex V is a breakup point and the location  $\kappa(x_+, x_-)$  where a quark-antiquark pair is produced. The breakup of a string is represented in figure 4. The produced particle closer to the edges are the faster moving ones corresponding to larger rapidities.

Let  $p_{\pm 0}$  and  $p_{\pm j}$  be momentum of the parent quark and the jth of the n-produced quarks moving along the  $x_{\pm}$  light-cone coordinate, respectively. Then

$$p_{\pm 0} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{\pm j} \tag{47}$$

and the momentum fraction at  $V_j$  is defined as

$$z_{\pm j} = \frac{p_{\pm j}}{p_{\pm 0}} \tag{48}$$

In order to simplify notations,  $z_j$  equals  $z_{+j}$  unless specified otherwise. The invariant interval is

$$ds^{2} = dt^{2} - dx^{2} = dt^{2}(1 - v^{2})$$

$$\tag{49}$$

giving

$$ds = dt\sqrt{1 - v^2} = \frac{dt}{\gamma} = d\tau \tag{50}$$

where  $\tau$  is the proper time. The proper time is also  $x_+x_-=(t-x)(t+x)=t^2-x^2=\tau^2$  and can be used as a dynamic variable such that

$$\Gamma = \kappa^2 x_+ x_- \tag{51}$$

Since  $\Gamma$  is proportional to the proper time square  $\tau^2$ , its Lorentz invariant property makes it a good kinematic variable in the light-cone coordinates. Let  $W_{\pm 1}$  and  $W_{\pm 2}$  be the kinetic energies along  $x_{\pm}$  at vertices 1 and 2, respectively. The following identities can

be easily proven geometrically by calculating the areas of the rectangles  $\Gamma_1 = A_1 + A_3$ ,  $\Gamma_2 = A_2 + A_3$ , and  $m^2$  as shown in figure 5:

$$\Gamma_1 = (1 - z_-)W_{-2}W_{+1} \tag{52}$$

$$\Gamma_2 = (1 - z_+) W_{-2} W_{+1} \tag{53}$$

$$m^2 = z_- z_+ W_{-2} W_{+1} (54)$$

where m is the mass of the produced meson. Equations (52) and (53) can be expressed with the help of equation (54) as

$$\Gamma_1 = \frac{m^2(1-z_-)}{z_+z_-} \tag{55}$$

$$\Gamma_2 = \frac{m^2(1-z_+)}{z_+ z_-} \tag{56}$$

Differentiating these equations gives

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma_1}{\partial z_-} = -\frac{m^2}{z_+ z_-^2} \tag{57}$$

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma_2}{\partial z_+} = -\frac{m^2}{z_+^2 z_-} \tag{58}$$

Let  $H(\Gamma_1)$  be a probability distribution such that  $H(\Gamma_1) d\Gamma_1 dy_1$  is the probability of a quark-antiquark pair being produced at the spacetime position  $V_1$ . From now on, the symbol  $V_n$  also represents the breakup event that leads to the creation of the nth quark-antiquark pair along a surface of constant  $\tau$ . Let  $f(z_+)dz_+$  be the transition probability of obtaining  $V_2$  given that  $V_1$  occurs. The transition probability of  $V_2$  via  $V_1$  is then  $H(\Gamma_1) d\Gamma_1 dy_1 f(z_+)dz_+$ . Similarly the probability of  $V_1$  via  $V_2$  is  $H(\Gamma_2) d\Gamma_2 dy_2 f(z_-)dz_-$ . It is reasonable to assume that the probability of  $V_1$  via  $V_2$  is equal to that of  $V_2$  via  $V_1$  such that

$$H(\Gamma_1) d\Gamma_1 dy_1 f(z_+) dz_+ = H(\Gamma_2) d\Gamma_2 dy_2 f(z_-) dz_-$$
(59)

The Jacobian J in

$$d\Gamma_1 dz_+ = J d\Gamma_2 dz_- \tag{60}$$

is

$$J = \left\| \begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial \Gamma_1}{\partial \Gamma_2} & \frac{\partial \Gamma_1}{\partial z_-} \\ \frac{\partial z_+}{\partial \Gamma_2} & \frac{\partial z_+}{\partial z_-} \end{array} \right\| = \frac{z_+}{z_-}$$

$$(61)$$

which can be easily computed by using equations (57) and (58) and  $\partial \Gamma_1/\partial \Gamma_2 = \partial z_+/\partial z_- = 0$ . Equation (60) can now be reexpressed as

$$d\Gamma_1 \frac{dz_+}{z_+} = d\Gamma_2 \frac{dz_-}{z_-} \tag{62}$$

Since rapidity is additive (i.e.,  $y_2 = y_1 + \Delta y$ )

$$dy_1 = dy_2 \tag{63}$$

With equations (62) and (63), equation (59) is simplified as

$$H(\Gamma_1)z_+f(z_+) = H(\Gamma_2)z_-f(z_-) \tag{64}$$

New definitions are now made to facilitate the solution of the equation

$$h(\Gamma) = \log H(\Gamma) \tag{65}$$

$$g(z) = \log(zf(z)) \tag{66}$$

The new definitions transform equation (64) as

$$h(\Gamma_1) + g(z_+) = h(\Gamma_2) + g(z_-) \tag{67}$$

Notice that

$$\frac{\partial^2 g(z_+)}{\partial z_+ \partial z_-} = \frac{\partial^2 g(z_-)}{\partial z_+ \partial z_-} = 0 \tag{68}$$

Differentiate equation (67) with respect to  $z_+$  and  $z_-$ , eliminate terms with  $\partial^2 g/\partial z_+ \partial z_-$  using equation (68) and cancel out factors of  $\partial \Gamma/\partial z$  on both sides of the equation to obtain

$$\frac{\partial h(\Gamma_1)}{\partial \Gamma_1} + \Gamma_1 \frac{\partial^2 h(\Gamma_1)}{\partial \Gamma_1^2} = \frac{\partial h(\Gamma_2)}{\partial \Gamma_2} + \Gamma_2 \frac{\partial^2 h(\Gamma_2)}{\partial \Gamma_2^2}$$
(69)

or equivalently

$$\frac{d}{d\Gamma} \left( \Gamma \frac{dh}{d\Gamma} \right) = -b \tag{70}$$

where b is a constant. The solution is

$$h(\Gamma) = -b\Gamma + a\ln\Gamma + \ln C \tag{71}$$

where C is a constant of integration. It yields the distribution

$$H(\Gamma) = e^{h(\Gamma)} = C \,\Gamma^a \, e^{-b\Gamma} \tag{72}$$

Substituting equations (55) and (56) into equation (67) gives

$$g_{12}(z_{+}) + \frac{bm^{2}}{z_{+}} + a_{1} \ln \frac{m^{2}}{z_{+}} - a_{2} \ln \frac{1 - z_{+}}{z_{+}} + \ln C_{1}$$

$$= g_{21}(z_{-}) + \frac{bm^{2}}{z_{-}} + a_{2} \ln \frac{m^{2}}{z_{-}} - a_{1} \ln \frac{1 - z_{-}}{z_{-}} + \ln C_{2}$$

$$(73)$$

where  $g_{12}(z_+)$  is  $g(z_+)$  of  $V_1$  changing to  $V_2$  and  $g_{21}(z_-)$  is  $g(z_-)$  of  $V_2$  changing to  $V_1$ . If  $a = a_1 = a_2$ , the transition probability distribution is

$$f(z_j) = N \frac{1}{z_j} (1 - z_j)^a e^{-\frac{bm^2}{z_j}}$$
(74)

where N is a constant of integration. Otherwise, the transition probability from  $V_{\alpha}$  to  $V_{\beta}$  is

$$f_{\alpha\beta}(z_j) = N_{\alpha\beta} \frac{1}{z_j} z_j^{a_{\alpha}} \left(\frac{1 - z_j}{z_j}\right)^{a_{\beta}} e^{-\frac{bm^2}{z_j}}$$

$$\tag{75}$$

where  $N_{\alpha\beta}$  is a constant of integration specific to the vertices  $V_{\alpha}$  and  $V_{\beta}$ . Let  $z_{0j}$  be the jth rank momentum fraction scaled with respect to  $p_{+0}$ , then

$$z_{01} = z_1 \tag{76}$$

$$z_{02} = z_2(1-z_1) (77)$$

The probability of two dependent events is the product of the probabilities of the two individual events. The existence of the rank-2 hadron depends on that of the rank-1 hadron according to the Lund Model so that joint probability of their mutual existence is the product of the two individual probabilities of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . With equations (75) to (77) the combined distribution of the rank-1 and -2 hadrons is

$$f(z_{1})dz_{1} f(z_{2})dz_{2} = f(z_{01})dz_{01} f\left(\frac{z_{02}}{1-z_{01}}\right) \frac{dz_{02}}{1-z_{01}}$$

$$= \frac{N dz_{01}}{z_{01}} \frac{N dz_{02}}{z_{02}} (1-z_{01})^{a} \left(1 - \frac{z_{02}}{1-z_{01}}\right)^{a} e^{-\frac{bm^{2}}{z_{1}} - \frac{bm^{2}}{z_{2}}}$$

$$= \frac{N dz_{01}}{z_{01}} \frac{N dz_{02}}{z_{02}} (1-z_{01}-z_{02})^{a} e^{-b(A_{1}+A_{2})}$$
(78)

The geometrical identity  $A_j = bm^2/z_j$  is used in the last step. Generalizing the product of two vertices to that of n vertices, the differential probability for the production of n particles is easily seen as

$$dP(1,\ldots,n) = (1-z)^a \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{N \, dz_{0j}}{z_{0j}} \, e^{-bA_j} \tag{79}$$

where  $z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{0j}$ ; let  $p_{0j} = z_{0j} p_{+0}$  and  $d^2p = dp_+ dp_-$ , and use the identity

$$\int dC \, dB \, \delta(BC - D) = \frac{dB}{B} \tag{80}$$

to obtain

$$\frac{dz_{01}}{z_{01}} \frac{dz_{02}}{z_{02}} = d^2 p_{01} d^2 p_{02} \delta^+ (p_{01}^2 - m^2) \delta^+ (p_{01}^2 - m^2)$$
(81)

With equation (81), equation (79) can be rewritten as (ref. 5)

$$dP(p_{01}, \dots, p_{0n}) = (1-z)^a \prod_{j=1}^n N \, d^2 p_{0j} \, \delta^+(p_{0j}^2 - m^2) \, e^{-bA_j}$$
(82)

From simple geometry in figure 6, the kinetic energies of the quarks along the  $\pm$  light cones are shown to be

$$W_{+} = z p_{0+} (83)$$

$$W_{-} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{m_{j}^{2}}{z_{0j}p_{0+}} \tag{84}$$

and the total kinetic energy square at  $V_n$  is

$$s = W_{+}W_{-} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{m^{2}z}{z_{0j}}$$
(85)

The total differential probability of n-particle production is (ref. 5)

$$dP(z, s; p_{01}, \dots, p_{0n})$$

$$= dz \, \delta \left( z - \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{0j} \right) ds \, \delta \left( s - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{m^{2}z}{z_{0j}} \right) dP(p_{01}, \dots, p_{0n})$$

$$= \frac{dz}{z} \, \delta \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{j} \right) ds \, \delta \left( s - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{m^{2}}{u_{j}} \right) dP(p_{01}, \dots, p_{0n})$$
(86)

where  $u_j \equiv p_{0+j}/W_{n+}$ . Use the method

$$\delta\left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{z_{0j}}{z}\right) \delta\left(s - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{m^{2}}{u_{j}}\right)$$

$$= \delta\left(W_{n+} - W_{n+} \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{j}\right) \delta\left(W_{n-} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{m^{2}}{u_{j}W_{n+}}\right)$$

$$= \delta\left(W_{n+} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{0j+}\right) \delta\left(W_{n-} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{0j-}\right)$$

$$\equiv \delta^{2}\left(p_{rest} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{0j}\right)$$
(87)

where  $p_{rest} = (W_{n+}, W_{n-})$ , to reorganize equation (86) as

$$dP(z, s; p_{01}, \dots, p_{0n}) = ds \frac{dz}{z} (1 - z)^{a} e^{-b\Gamma} \delta \left( p_{rest} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{0j} \right)$$

$$\times \prod_{j=1}^{n} N d^{2} p_{0j} \delta^{+} (p_{0j}^{2} - m^{2}) e^{-bA_{rest}}$$
(88)

with the definition

$$A_{total} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_j = \Gamma + A_{rest}$$
 (89)

The claim is that  $A_{total}$  is Lorentz invariant and is called the "Area Law." (See ref. 7.) Equation (88) can be separated in the external and internal parts as in reference 5:

$$dP_{ext} = ds \frac{dz}{z} (1-z)^a e^{-b\Gamma}, (90)$$

$$dP_{int} = \prod_{j=1}^{n} N d^{2} p_{0j} \, \delta^{+}(p_{0j}^{2} - m^{2}) \, \delta\left(p_{rest} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{0j}\right) e^{-bA_{rest}}$$
(91)

The external part contains kinematic variables s, z, and  $\Gamma$ . The internal part contains dynamic variables  $p_{0j}$ . Equations (90) and (91) are the final results.

#### References

- 1. Kaku, Michio: *Introduction to Superstrings*. Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- 2. Illana, José I.: TeV Strings and Ultrahigh-Energy Cosmic Rays. *Acta Phys. Polon. B*, vol. 32, no. 11, Nov. 2001, pp. 3695–3706. <a href="http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/0110092">http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/0110092</a> Accessed Sept. 9, 2002.
- 3. Isgur, Nathan: Flux Tube Zero-Point Motion, Hadronic Charge Radii, and Hybrid Meson Production Cross Sections. *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 60, 1999, 114016.
- 4. Isgur, Nathan; and Thacker, H. B.: Origin of the Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka Rule in QCD. *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 64, 2001, 094507.
- 5. Andersson, Bo: The Lund Model. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998.
- 6. Andersson, B.; and Söderberg, F.: Theoretical Physics: The Diagonalisation of the Lund Fragmentation Model I. *European Phys. J. C*, vol. 16, no. 2, 2000, pp. 303–310.
- 7. Andersson, Bo; Mohanty, Sandipan; and Söderberg, Frederik: Theoretical Physics: The Lund Fragmentation Process for a Multi-Gluon String According to the Area Law. *European Phys. J. C*, vol. 21, no. 4, July 2002, pp. 631–647. <a href="http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/0106185">http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/0106185</a> Accessed Sept. 9, 2002.
- 8. Sjöstrand, Torbjörn; Lönnblad, Leif; and Mrenna, Stephen: PYTHIA 6.2: Physics and Manual. LU TP 01-21, Lund Univ., Aug. 2001. <a href="http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/0108264">http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/0108264</a> Accessed Sept. 9, 2002.
- 9. Amsler, C; and Wohl, C. G.: Review of Particle Physics. 13. Quark Model. *European Phys. J. C*, vol. 3, no. 1–4, 1998, pp. 109–112.
- 10. Bailly, J. L.; et al.: Inclusive pi0 Production in 360 GeV pp Interactions Using the European Hybrid Spectrometer. *Z. Phys. C*, vol. 22, 1984, pp. 119–124.
- 11. NA22 Collaboration (Ajinenko, I.V., et al.): Inclusive pi0 Production  $\pi^+p$ ,  $K^+p$  and pp Interactions. *Z. Phys. C*, vol. 35, 1987, pp. 7–14.
- 12. Blattnig, Steve R.; Swaminathan, Sudha R.; Kruger, Adam T.; Ngom, Moussa; Norbury, John W.; and Tripathi, R. K.: *Parameterized Cross Sections for Pion Production in Proton-Proton Collisions*. NASA/TP-2000-210640, 2000.
- 13. Allen, Theodore J.; Goebel, Charles; Olsson, M. G.; and Veseli, Sinisa: Analytic Quantization of the QCD String. *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 64, 2001, 094011.
- 14. Polchinski, Joseph: String Theory. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998.
- 15. Hatfield, Brian F.: *Quantum Field Theory of Point Particles and Strings*. Addison-Wesley, 1992.




Figure 1. Experimental  $d\sigma/dx$  and string model results. Constants used in exponential function chosen to fit data and not parameterizations;  $p + p \rightarrow \pi^{\circ} + X$ ;  $P_{lab} = 360$  GeV.




Figure 2. Experimental  $E \, d\sigma/dp_L$  and string model results. Constants used in exponential function chosen to fit data and not parameterizations;  $p+p \rightarrow \pi^{\circ} + X$ ;  $P_{lab} = 250 \text{ GeV}$ .




Figure 3. Yoyo motion of quark-antiquark pair confined by linear potential.




Figure 4. Breakup of quark-antiquark pair along surface of constant proper time  $\tau$ . Bold arrows represent velocities of produced mesons; breakup points labeled as vertices  $V_1$  to  $V_n$ .




Figure 5. Geometry of kinematics of two adjacent vertices  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , which are vertices or spacetime positions that also represent energy carried by string field. Quark moves along positive light cone and antiquark moves along negative light cone; antiquark of  $V_1$  combines with quark of  $V_2$  to produce meson of mass m;  $W_{+1}$  is energy of  $V_1$  along  $x_+$ ;  $z_+W_{+1}$  is fraction of energy used to create quark from  $V_2$ ;  $W_{-2}$  is energy of  $V_2$  along  $x_-$ ;  $z_-W_{-2}$  is fraction of energy used to create antiquark from  $V_1$ ;  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$ , and  $M_2$  are areas of rectangles. From reference 5.




Figure 6. Geometry of kinematics of involving total area of spacetime diagram such that  $A_{total} = \Gamma + A_{rest}$ . Total energy square  $s = W_+W_-$  is represented by rectangle s;  $p\pm$  is energy of parent quark (antiquark) along  $\pm$  light-cone coordinates;  $z_{0n}p_+$  is fraction of energy used to create quark from  $V_n$ ;  $m^2/(z_{0n}p_+)$  is energy used to create antiquark from  $V_{n-1}$ . From reference 5 with minor modifications.

_						
REPOR	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188					
The public reporting burden for this collection or gathering and maintaining the data needed, and collection of information, including suggestions Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Higshall be subject to any penalty for failing to con PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO	finformation is estimated to average 1 hour per response, in d completing and reviewing the collection of information. Se for reducing this burden, to Department of Defense, Washin thway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents pply with a collection of information if it does not display a cui THE ABOVE ADDRESS.	cluding the time nd comments r gton Headquar should be awa rrently valid OM	e for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, egarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this ters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and are that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person IB control number.			
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 2. REPORT TYPE			3. DATES COVERED (From - To)			
09-2002	Technical Publication					
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE String Fragmentation Model in Space Radiation Problems			5a. CONTRACT NUMBER			
		5b. GRANT NUMBER				
			5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
<b>6. AUTHOR(S)</b> Tang, Alfred; Norbury, John W.; and Tripathi, R. K.			5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
		5e. TASK NUMBER				
5			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
		755-06-00-03				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER			
NASA Langley Research Center			REPORT NOWIBER			
Hampton, VA 23681-2199			L-18208			
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, DC 20546-0001			NASA			
			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
			NASA/TP-2002-211933			
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 93 Availability: NASA CASI (301)						
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Tang and Norbury: University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Tripathi: Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia. An electronic version can be found at http://techreports.larc.nasa.gov/ltrs/ or http://techreports.larc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/NTRS						
14. ABSTRACT						
String fragmentation models such as the Lund Model fit experimental particle production cross sections very well in the high-energy limit. This paper gives an introduction of the massless relativistic string in the Lund Model and shows how it can be modified with a simple assumption to produce formulas for meson production cross sections for space radiation research. The results of the string model are compared with inclusive pion production data from proton-proton collision experiments.						
15. SUBJECT TERMS						

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			18. NUMBER OF	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON	
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE	ABSTRACT	PAGES	STI Help Desk (email: help@sti.nasa.gov)
					19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)
U	U	U	UU	23	(301) 621-0390

String fragmentation model; High energy limit; Lund model; Meson production cross section in proton-proton collisions